

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,979	03/30/2004	Scott Sibbett		8637
7590 · 06/18/2007 RAJ S. DAVE			EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C. 1500 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 250			NOGUEROLA, ALEXANDER STEPHAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1714			1753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Intonvious Summans	10/814,979	SIBBETT, SCOTT				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>ALEX NOGUEROLA</u> .	(3)	·				
(2) <u>Raj Dave</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>13 June 2007</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	•]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.					
Claim(s) discussed: 1,4, ,11 and 12.	· .	•				
Identification of prior art discussed: Sibbett et al. and Chien et al. of record and Zare et al. (US 5,141,621), Vann et al. (US 7,147,764 B2), and Yoshida (JP 09-281077 A).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ♀	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	•					
·		•				
	COO W	20 A				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ature, if required				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20070613

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Dave said he would overcome the rejections based on the Sibbett patent by stating that it is was commonly owned by the assigne of the instant application at the time of invention. The Examiner described to Mr. Dave how the Zare, Vann, and Yoshida patents identified above and not yet of record are 102(b) references against at least claims 1 and 11. Copies of these references were provided to Mr. Dave. The Examiner suggested that the claims possibly may be made allowable if they include structural and functional limitations about the sidearms described in paragraph [0020] of the specification.